

**To:** Douglas Sarno [doug@forumfg.com]  
**Cc:** CN=Alice Yeh/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Dave Kluesner/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Dave Kluesner/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Ray Basso/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Thur 10/25/2012 11:50:37 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Planning next EPA meetings  
[doug@forumfg.com](mailto:doug@forumfg.com)

Doug, I think we can answer most of these questions, Can we do a short phone call today, let me know what time works for you. I'm available before 10:00am and between 11:00am and 1:30pm.

From: Douglas Sarno <doug@forumfg.com>  
To: Dave Kluesner/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephanie Vaughn/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 10/24/2012 08:40 PM  
Subject: Planning next EPA meetings

Hi all,

In a chat with the Chairs today, we realized that we need some key information in order to plan the next two CAG meetings. The two meetings will look at the CPG proposal and RM 10.9 and we are trying to figure out the right order of the two and appropriate dates. Please let me know if we have any clarity on these things, thanks

1. Meeting on CPG proposal--

We received a presentation from them and told them they could present to the full CAG, however the Chairs want to be sure to have EPA there to clearly talk about where they stand on this, what relevance it has to decision-making and key information on the various disagreements that they point out in their argument. We would probably use this meeting to discuss the FFS sections that we have received as well.

Question--does it make sense to do this on our regular scheduled date on nov 8, or is there timing that EPA would prefer for this?

2. Meeting on RM 10.9

The CAG would like to devote a full meeting to discussion the 90% design to be held in Lyndhurst. Questions--what is the appropriate timing of this meeting, when will the 90% design be available for discussion? Are there any special considerations we need to consider in planning for this meeting?

3. Odor Issue

The CAG wants to close the loop on this from their perspective. They think it is important to definitively say that the odors did not come from the phase 1 project. Conversely, if for some reason they did, then the CAG would take up this issue.

Question-- where does this stand and what are the next steps?

thanks  
Doug

Doug Sarno  
301-798-2713 office  
703-927-6265 cell  
doug@forumfg.com